Interview Summary	Application No. 09/115,654	Applicant(s) Shibata
	Examiner Clark F. De	Group Art Unit
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,		
(1) Mr. Scott Wakeman	(3)	
(2) Mr. Clark Dexter	(4)	
Date of Interview		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given t	co 🗌 applicant 🛛 ap	plicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	s 🛛 No. If yes, brief d	escription:
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 1, 18, and 20-25 Identification of prior art discussed: Deley Description of the general nature of what was agreed Mr. Wakeman proposed changes to obviate the outst Applicant proposed changes to claims 1 and 18 direct movement of the saw. Mr. Dexter stated that struct of such language to the claims would appear to obviate disclose any detecting structure. Language was disciputative consideration would be given to the "112" reject acceptable since it appears that the detector plate	It to if an agreement was intending rejections under 3 steed to the automatic locking are should be claimed to eate the prior art rejection unussed to obviate the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the "112 iections to claim 25, and steed to include the include	5 USC 112 and the prior art rejections. ing of the claimed locks upon detection of detect the saw movement, and the addition under 35 USC 102 since Deley does not 2" rejections. Mr. Dexter stated that stated that the current language appears to
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the atthe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	amendments, if available, no copy of the amendent	which the examiner agreed would render s which would render the claims allowable
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a	separate record of the sul	ostance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indi LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office acti	INCLUDE THE SUBSTANC	CE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP

2.
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

CLARK F. DEXTER **PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3724**